

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/049,995	02/20/2002	Jun Saito	1422-0519P	4521	
2292	7590 05/20/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			BOYER, CI	BOYER, CHARLES I	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TABLE CHEROII, VII 22010 0111			1751	1751	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004	DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
· (F	10/049,995	SAITO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Charles I Boyer	1751			
The MAU INC DATE of this communication and					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address. المحادة المحادثة					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Fe	ebruary 2002.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/16/04</u> .	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1751

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Information Disclosure Statement

1. Regrettably, the references contained in the information disclosure statement filed May 20, 2002 has not been scanned into the file as of this writing. This appears to be the fault of the Office. Those documents that could be retrieved by the examiner were considered, however, English abstracts for German patents, DE 19,735,788 and DE 29,905,721 were not easily obtainable and so have not been considered. Applicants are requested to resubmit these documents so that they may be scanned and considered. The examiner apologizes for this inconvenience.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-6 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tadsen et al, US 5,527,489.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,995

Art Unit: 1751

Tadsen et al teach a process for preparing high density detergent compositions (see abstract). An example of such a process adds sodium carbonate and sodium tripolyphosphate to a mixer, followed, by alkyl sulfate and alkylbenzene sulfonate, and finally followed by zeolite A (particle size < 10 microns), yielding free-flowing granules with a bulk density of 820 g/L (col. 12, example 1). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

Claims 1-6, 8, 10-12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamaguchi et al, US 6,159,919.

Yamaguchi et al teach a bleaching composition in powder or granular form (see abstract). An example of such a process adds sodium carbonate and alkylbenzene sulfonate to a mixer, followed by an aluminosilicate and nonionic surfactant, yielding a powdered detergent with a bulk density of from 600 to 1000 g/L (col. 16, examples 1-5). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Nitta et al, EP 936,269.

Nitta et al teach a process for preparing high density detergent compositions (see abstract). An example of such a process adds sodium carbonate and sodium tripolyphosphate to a mixer, followed, by alkylbenzene sulfonate, and finally followed by zeolite with a particle size of 4 microns, yielding free-flowing granules with a bulk density of 760 g/L (page 13, example 1). Note that this process includes blowing a gas during the neutralization step (see page 23, table 5). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles I Boyer whose telephone number is 571 272 1311. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571 272 1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,995

Clark Boyor

Art Unit: 1751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles I Boyer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751